

| | | |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/658,540 | HOPPERMANN, ANDREAS |
| | Examiner Dennis H. Pedder | Art Unit 3612 |

All Participants:

(1) Dennis H. Pedder.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Safran.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 November 2004

Time: morning

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112, secord paragraph

Claims discussed:

4,13,14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amend claims 4 and 13 for antecedent and to remove a redundancy. Amend claim 14 to parallel the correction to claim 5.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)